



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,003 | 07/30/2003 | Scott F. Watson | 038.P006 | 2312 |

60226 7590 03/24/2009
DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC
C/O BERKELEY LAW & TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLP
17933 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 250
BEAVERTON, OR 97006

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

MONTOMYA, OSCHTA I

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2421

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| 03/24/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/632,003 | WATSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Oschta Montoya | 2421 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Oschta Montoya. (3)_____.

(2) Howard Skaist. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13, 26, and 43-47.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rhoads et al., US 6,442,285.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendments appear to overcome the art of record.

Specifically the applicant will add to the claims that the content is deliver in real time and that the asset is store at the client device. Upon receipt of a response the application will be fully reviewed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| | /JOHN W. MILLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421 |
|--|--|